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In the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]$, the $\mathrm{Co}^{\text {II }}$ ion is five-coordinated in a strongly distorted square-pyramidal arrangement, with one of the two Cl atoms located in the apical position, and the other Cl atom and the three N -donor atoms of the tridentate methyloxime ligand located in the basal plane. The non-H atoms, except for the Cl atoms, lie on a mirror plane. The two equatorial $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {oxime }}$ distances are almost equal (mean $2.253 \AA$ ) and are substantially longer than the equatorial $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ bond [2.0390 (19) $\AA$ ]. The structure is stabilized by intra- and intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ contacts, which involve one of the methyl C atoms belonging to the methyloxime groups.

## Comment

Complexes of transition metals with tridentate Schiff bases are of considerable interest due to their structural and material properties and their potential as catalysts for a variety of organic reactions (Small \& Brookhart, 1998; Britovsek et al., 1999). For instance, the terpyridine molecule, (I), has been the subject of numerous studies concerning analytical applications (Togni \& Venanzi, 1994). Various 2,6-diacetylpyridine diimines, (II), have also been studied as catalysts for the polymerization and epoxidation of alkenes (Tellmann et al., 2005, and references therein; Small \& Brookhart, 1999; Griffiths et al., 1999; Harman et al., 1997; Small et al., 1998; Dias et al., 2001; Çetinkaya et al., 1999; Bianchini \& Lee, 2000). We report here the synthesis and characterization of a cobalt(II) complex derived from the related tridentate ligand $1,1^{\prime}$ -(pyridine-2,6-diyl)diethanone bis( $O$-methyloxime) (DAPO), (III).

The tridentate Schiff base (III), derived from the condensation of 2,6-diacetylpyridine with $O$-methylhydroxylamine, reacted with cobalt(II) chloride hydrate to give a mononuclear complex of formula [ $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ (DAPO)], (IV).

The molecular structure of complex (IV), together with the atom-labelling scheme and the intramolecular hydrogen bonding, are shown in Fig. 1. Selected geometric parameters are listed in Table 1. The structure is composed of a DAPO
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ligand with a $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ metal centre and two Cl ligands. As expected, complex (IV) does not crystallize with solvent molecules, and the DAPO ligand, with its two imine groups in ortho positions with respect to the pyridine N atom, behaves as a symmetrical $N, N^{\prime}, N$-tridentate chelate. The $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion is five-coordinated by two methyloxime N atoms, one pyridine N atom and two Cl atoms (Fig. 1). The molecule lies with all nonH atoms, except atom Cl 1 , on a crystallographic mirror plane so that the complex is strictly planar.

Recently, we have reported a complex of (III) with copper(II), $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}\right.$ (DAPO) $]$ (Özdemir et al., 2006). On the basis of the estimated 'effective' ionic radii for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(0.65 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}(0.67 \AA)$ in a five-coordinate environment (Shannon, 1976), the corresponding $M-\mathrm{N}$ and $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances in $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}\right.$ (DAPO) $]$ and $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\right.$ (DAPO) $]$, would be expected to be fairly similar in magnitude. This premise is clearly not supported by a comparison of the $M-\mathrm{N}$ and $M-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances in both complexes. The $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances in $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DAPO})\right]$ are $c a 0.04$ and $0.07 \AA$ longer than their respective $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond distances in $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DAPO})\right]$. Conversely, the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {pyridine }}$ and $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {oxime }}$ bond distances in $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DAPO})\right]$ are $c a 0.06$ and $0.16 \AA$ longer than their respective $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances in $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DAPO})\right]$. These unusually long $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances strongly suggest that the angular overlap between the available metal orbitals and the N -donor orbitals of the oxime ligand is relatively poor in this five-coordinate Co complex.

The coordination polyhedron about the Co atom is concluded to be a highly distorted square pyramid on the basis of the $\tau$ parameter of 0.46 calculated for this complex [for a square pyramid $\tau=0$ and for a trigonal-bipyramid $\tau=1$; $\tau=$ $(\beta-\alpha) / 60^{\circ}, \alpha$ and $\beta$ being the two largest angles around the
central atom (Addison et al., 1984)]. In contrast, the $\tau$ value for the Cu analogue was 0.53 , indicating a geometry slightly more towards the trigonal-bipyramidal ideal. The apex of the square pyramid in (IV) is occupied by a chloride ligand, and the four basal positions consist of the other chloride ligand and the three N -donor atoms of the tridentate methyloxime ligand $\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{i}}\right.$; symmetry code: (i) $\left.x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z\right]$. The maximum deviation from the ideal value of $90^{\circ}$ of the valency angles involving the transition metal atom is $16.18(8)^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{N} 1-$ $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$. A comparison of the appropriate bond distances and angles in $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\right.$ (DAPO)] indicates that the molecule possesses $C_{s}$ symmetry with a non-crystallographic mirror plane passing through atoms $\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Cl} 1, \mathrm{Cl} 2$ and N 1 . The axialequatorial (ax-eq) angles fall into two groups, with $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{ax}}-$ $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ angles in the range $97.92(3)-121.129(15)^{\circ}$ and a $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{ax}}-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ angle of 117.74 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$.

The most predominant feature of this five-coordinate cobalt complex is the significantly different $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in the basal plane. The $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ and $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ bond distances are longer than the $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond (Table 1). Since the electronic ground state in this $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex is not likely to be degenerate, the observed variations in these bond distances are probably due to a second-order Jahn-Teller effect of the $d^{7}$ metal atom (Pearson, 1969). The displacement parameters for atoms N2 and N3 suggest a slight dynamic component to the Jahn-Teller effect, as the values of $\Delta$ (MSDA) (MSDA is mean-square displacement amplitude; Hirshfeld, 1976) for the $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ and $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ bonds are $0.0050(12)$ and $0.0071(14) \AA^{2}$, respectively, which are significantly larger than those for all of the other bonds in the structure. In the electronic spectrum, a weak band at 630 nm corresponds to a $d-d$ transition (dichloromethane solution). A less intense band at 361 nm is due to the Jahn-Teller effect in the complex. The absorption band below 345 nm results from the overlap of a low-energy $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition mainly localized within the imine chromophore and the ligand-to-metal charge transfer bands (LMCT). The magnetic moment of 4.9 BM observed for the


Figure 1
A view of the molecule of (IV), showing $40 \%$ probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. Intramolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ contacts are represented by dashed lines. [Symmetry code: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z$.] Both orientations of the disordered methyl H atoms are shown.


Figure 2
A projection of (IV), viewed along the $c$ axis. Dashed lines show the $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ interactions. For clarity, only H atoms involved in hydrogen bonding have been included.
complex indicates the high spin state. The electrochemical parameters of the complex were measured in dichloromethane versus tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate using a gold electrode and ferrocene as the internal standard. The couples at +0.91 V and -0.21 V are attributed to $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II} / I I I}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{I}}$, respectively.

Similar to the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ complex, two intramolecular interactions are observed between the methyl H and the Cl atoms in the molecular structure of (IV), forming six-membered rings. Despite the similar chemical compositions of the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes, the packing patterns of the molecules in the crystal structures are quite different. In contrast to the columnar packed structure observed in $\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{DAPO})\right]$, the molecules of (IV) pack in layers parallel to the ac plane. The $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ complexes are connected to one another by the molecules packed in $2_{1}$ screw symmetry-related columns, forming pairs of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ contacts between two neighbouring molecules. However, in (IV), there are no intermolecular interactions between the $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex molecules in each layer nor in the $c$ direction. The intermolecular contacts between the layers are formed by the methyl C atoms belonging to one of the methyloxime groups and by the Cl atoms, forming a two-dimensional network (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

## Experimental

$\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Panreac), diacetylpyridine (Fluka) and methoxylamine hydrochloride (Acros) were used as received. Compound (III) was prepared by a modification of literature methods (Çetinkaya et al., 1999; Bianchini \& Lee, 2000). Solvents were of analytical grade and distilled after drying. A solution of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(238 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added dropwise to a solution of DAPO ( 221 mg , $1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The resulting green solution was refluxed for 4 h and then concentrated ( 5 ml ). $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added, with stirring, to a final volume of 20 ml , causing a green powder to precipitate. The precipitate was filtered off, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried. X-ray quality crystals were grown from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{ml}, 1: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ (yield $240 \mathrm{mg}, 68 \%$; m.p. $515-517 \mathrm{~K})$. Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CoN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C 37.63, H 4.31, N 11.97\%; found: C $37.23, \mathrm{H} 4.49, \mathrm{~N}$ $12.32 \%$. IR (KBr): $1637\left(v_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}}\right) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

## Crystal data

| $\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\right]$ | $Z=4$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $M_{r}=351.09$ | $D_{x}=1.577 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}$ |
| Orthorhombic, Pnma | Mo $K \alpha$ radiation |
| $a=18.0255(11) \AA$ | $\mu=1.52 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $b=7.6426(5) \AA$ | $T=296 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $c=10.7325(8) \AA$ | Plate, green |
| $V=1478.53(17) \AA^{3}$ | $0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Stoe IPDS-II diffractometer |  |
| $\omega$ scans | 9341 measured reflections |
| Absorption correction: integration | 1406 independent reflections |
| $\quad(X-R E D 32 ;$ Stoe \& Cie, 2002) | 1161 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\quad R_{\text {min }}=0.564, T_{\text {max }}=0.926$ | $\theta_{\text {max }}=25.050$ |

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.024$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.061$
$S=1.00$
1406 reflections
117 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$D_{x}=1.577 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
$\mu=1.52 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, green
$0.41 \times 0.28 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$

9341 measured reflections 1406 independent reflections
$R_{\text {int }}=0.050$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}$
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0402 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.20 \mathrm{e}^{\text {max }}{ }^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.34 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL97
Extinction coefficient: 0.0037 (7)

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right.$ ).

| Co1-N1 | $2.0390(19)$ | $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $1.391(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.220(2)$ | $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $1.388(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $2.2366(5)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.286(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.286(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 8$ | $1.281(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $74.64(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $148.46(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $121.129(15)$ | $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $98.23(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1$ | $97.92(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $114.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cl} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{Cl} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $117.74(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $114.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Co} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $73.82(8)$ |  |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z$.

Table 2
Short-contact geometry $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 C \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.96 | 2.77 | $3.611(3)$ | 147 |
| $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.96 | 2.79 | $3.650(3)$ | 149 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y+\frac{1}{2}, z$; (ii) $-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2}$.

H atoms were positioned geometrically and treated using a riding model, fixing the bond lengths at 0.96 and $0.93 \AA$ for methyl and aromatic H atoms, respectively. The $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})$ values were set at 1.2 (aromatic) or 1.5 (methyl) times $U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$. Riding methyl H atoms were allowed to rotate freely during refinement using the AFIX 137
command of SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997). The H atoms of the four methyl groups were disordered over two symmetry-related positions, above and below the mirror plane, and were allowed for by placing six H atoms with equivalent half-occupancies.

Data collection: $X$-AREA (Stoe \& Cie, 2002); cell refinement: $X$-AREA; data reduction: $X$-RED32 (Stoe \& Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 1997) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 1999) and PLATON (Spek, 2003).
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